

Thurrock Council

Request to call-in an executive decision

Decision title	THE SUPPORTED BUS SERVICES
Date of decision	15 MARCH 2023
Who took the decision – for example, Cabinet	THE COUNCIL CABINET
Reason for making the call-in – continue on a separate sheet, if necessary * * 1 PAGES ATTACHED.	TO MANY PEOPLE WHO ARE UNABLE TO DRIVE THROUGH AGE, ILLNESS AND DISABILITY ARE ALSO DIGITALLY EXCLUDED IN AN AREA WITH POOR BROAD BAND CONNECTIVITY THUS REMAIN TOTALLY UNREPRESENTED IN ANY CONSULTATION LACK OF BUS SERVICE INCREASES SOCIAL ISOLATION FOR THESE PERSONS WHICH IS CONTRAINDICATORY TO STRATEGY
Date of call-in request	20 th MARCH 2023

You can only make a request to call-in a decision if there is evidence that the decision taken was not in accordance with the principles of decision-making, as set out in the Constitution.

Which of the following principles do you believe the decision did not take into account?

- Due regard for the individuals and communities served by Thurrock Council
- Proportionality – this means the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome
- Due consultation in line with the council's consultation strategy
- Respect for human rights
- A presumption in favour of openness
- Clarity of aims and desired outcomes – the link between strategy and implementation
- Consistency with the council's budget and policy framework

Additional Information to support the call-in – continue on a separate sheet, if necessary	This Reduction of Bus services is unwanted by the community and the decision is a perverse knee jerk reaction with no forward thought and will cost more in the long run in many ways. Railways need bus links or you will have to revise allotted parking on developments.
--	--

Alternative proposal

Alternative proposal – continue on a separate sheet, if necessary	Use of 106 moneys to preserve the Bus Route as this is needed Now not in the future and the money is available. I enclose a couple of documents.
---	--

Persons making this call-in

I am / ~~we are~~:

- An individual elected member for a ward particularly affected by the decision
- The chair of the relevant overview and scrutiny committee
- Any 2 members of the relevant overview and scrutiny committee
- Any 3 non-executive members of the Council
- Any 10 members of the public, working or studying within the Thurrock Council area
- A voluntary group with interest in the Thurrock Council area WELCOM FORUM
- A local business situated in the Thurrock Council area – not allowable where the decision relates to procurement of goods and services

Contact information

Name	DAVID BOWLING
Email address	bowling.d7@gmail.com
Daytime phone number	07957 624 397
Postal address	CARMEN RM18 8PB

When the relevant overview and scrutiny committee considers your call-in, would you like to make a statement or representation at the meeting?

- Yes
- No

Supporters of the call-in

Details of each person indicated as 'persons making this call-in' on page 2 must be entered below.

Number	Name in block capitals, and signature	Address, in block capitals
1.	DAVID BOWLING <i>David Bowling</i>	CARMEN PRINCESS MARGARET ROAD EAST TILBURY RM18 8PB
2.	CHRISTINE BOWLING-COOK <i>Bowling-cook</i>	CARMEN PRINCESS MARGARET ROAD EAST TILBURY RM18 8PB
3.	GORDON STEPHENS <i>G.A. Stephens</i>	QUERIDA PRINCESS MARGARET ROAD EAST TILBURY
4.	ELAINE NIESZWIEC <i>E Nieszwiec</i>	5 ESTUARY COTTAGES PRINCESS MARGARET ROAD EAST TILBURY RM18 8PB
5.	SARAH DRAKE <i>S Drake</i>	6 Reach East Tilbury
6.	CHRIS GUNSGOU <i>Cyngor</i>	ST. CLEMENT HOUSE EAST TILBURY RM18 8PB
7.	LORRAINE REEVES <i>L Reeves</i>	8 Council Hs Princess Margaret Rd East Tilbury
8.	FRAN REEVES <i>F Reeves</i>	8 Council Hs Princess Margaret Rd East Tilbury
9.	A. SATHARAT <i>A Satharat</i>	9 Council House, PMR. East Tilbury. Essex RM18 8PB.
10.	H. J. HAYWARD <i>Heather Hayward</i>	TRINOVANTES PRINCESS MARGARET RD

Please return this form to either:

- direct-democracy@thurrock.gov.uk
- Democratic Services, Thurrock Council, Civic Offices, New Road, Grays, RM17 6SL

How we will use your information

We will use your information to provide the service requested. We may share your personal data between our services and with partner organisations, such as government bodies and the police. We will do so when it is of benefit to you, or required by law, or to prevent or detect fraud. To find out more, go to thurrock.gov.uk/privacy. Get free internet access at libraries and community hubs.

For Monitoring Officer use only

Monitoring Officer's decision on the call-in request:

- Allowed in full
- Allowed in part
- Not allowed

Monitoring Officer's reasons for decision	
Monitoring Officer's comments on the alternative proposal	
Signature	
Date	

REASON FOR MAKING CALL IN CONTINUED

AND POLICY. THIS COMMUNITY HAVE BEEN PROMISED
 4 INTEGRATED MEDICAL CENTRES AND THESE ARE NOT
 MATERIALISING SO MEDICAL PROCEDURES AND BASIC
 DIAGNOSTIC TESTS INVOLVE TRAVELLING TO VENUES AT
 SOME DISTANCE THOSE RELIANT ON BUS TRAVEL CANNOT
 DO THIS AND IN TIMES OF THE INCREASE IN THE
 COST OF LIVING WOULD HOPEFULLY EFFECT THIS UNVOICED
 EXCLUDED PORTION OF OUR COMMUNITY. THIS IS HYPOCRISY
 IN THE WORST FORM. THURROCK REMAINS UNDER DOCTORED

THE AREA OF EAST TILBURY HAS A HUGE SEVERANCE
 ISSUE ALREADY AND THE WITHDRAWAL OF THIS SERVICE
 MAKES IT WORSE YOU CANT WALK OR RIDE OUT OF
 THIS AREA QUALITY OF LIFE IS WORSE. I RECENTLY SPOKE
 TO THE LEADER OF THURROCK COUNCIL AND I ASKED WHY
 PAYMENT PARKING WAS COMING TO EAST TILBURY HE SAID
 IT WAS A BOROUGH WIDE POLICY AND SHOULD BE
 FAIRLY DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE BOROUGH. SO IF I ACCEPT
 THIS THEORY WILL YOU BE SUPPLYING BUSES TO
 EAST TILBURY WHICH IS BEING FLOODED WITH RESIDENTIAL
 DEVELOPMENTS. WITH NO INFRASTRUCTURE TO MITIGATE ANY
 DEVELOPMENTS THERE IS SECTION 106 MONEY ALLOTTED
 TO EAST TILBURY UNUSED. FOR A FOOT BRIDGE WHICH
 NEVER HAPPENED 545 thousand pounds placed
 ON THE TRANSPORT BUDGET WHICH I WANTED TO REGENERATE
 TO A COMMUNITY HUB. BUT NEEDS MOST AND
 AS HE AND HIS CABINET HAS PLACED OUR COMMUNITY IN
 THIS DIRE STRAITS THIS MONEY COULD KEEP THE BUS
 RUNNING ALONG WITH THE MONEY FROM THE OTHER
 DEVELOPMENTS. I WOULD ALSO SUGGEST 1 CENT
 REMOVE CONTRIBUTION FOR A SHELTER AT
 COST

THE RAILWAY STATION AND FEED THAT MONEY
TO THE BUSES FOR THE LESS FORTUNATE SECTION
OF OUR COMMUNITY THIS IS A SUGGESTED
SOLUTION ALONG WITH MY CRITICISM

I WOULD ADD IN SUPPORT OF THIS
IS THAT IN THE BATA 106 MONIES YOU
ACTUALLY SPENT ALOT OF MONEY ON THE
UPGRADE OF BUS STOPS! WHICH WILL NOT
MITIGATE ANY DEVELOPMENT. IF THERE ARE NO
BUSES. COULD A DIAL A BUS SYSTEM BE
IMPLEMENTED PLEASE DO NOT WASTE ANY MORE
MONEY ON POINTLESS PROJECTS. I ALSO BELIEVE
STANFORD AND CORRINGTONHAM ARE SITTING ON
MONEY FROM DP WORLD. EARMARKED FOR
SPEED CAMERAS. THIS COULD ALSO BE BUS MONEY
UNDER THE TRANSPORT UMBRELLA.
WE WAIT FOR YOU TO SELL OUR VILLAGE
HALL AND INSTALL PAY PARKING UNWANTED
BY THE COMMUNITY. I JUST LOOK AT
THE AMOUNT OF MONEY WASTED ON SPEED
BUMPS AND SUBSEQUENT REMOVAL.

WE NEED OUR BUSES. ANY WITHDRAWAL
IS A CRIME
WE WAIT TO SEE WHAT FUTURE OUR
SCHOOL BUS TO ST CLERS WILL MEET

WILL YOU SEE THE FOLLY OF YOUR
QUITE FRANKLY ILLOGICAL DECISION
I MEAN HOW MUCH DID YOU SPEND ON BUS STOPS!